<image>

2000 Private Property Congressional Vote Index

October 2000 Twelfth Annual Edition

106th Congress First & Second Sessions



INTRODUCTION

Dear Friends,

This is the twelfth annual edition of the Private Property Congressional Vote Index. The League of Private Property Voters (LPPV) has published the Index each year since 1990.

LPPV is a coalition of more than 600 grassroots organizations that advocate the rights of property owners, including farmers, ranchers, woodlot owners, residents of rural communities, owners of recreational property, and inholders of private property within and adjacent to federal lands. A partial list of sponsors for the 1999-2000 Index appears on the back page.

Votes for this year's index were chosen from discussions among approximately twenty leaders of the property rights movement nationwide. These votes are 1999-2000's most important snapshots demonstrating protection of the constitutional rights of property owners against a powerful and overbearing federal government. They also show support for recreational and commercial access to federal lands, upon which many rural communities depend and all Americans share.

We encourage readers to examine the voting records of their Senators and Congressmen. Please thank those who stood up for us, and educate the rest.

CHAMPIONS

A Champion is a score of over 75% on the LPPV Index.

Senate - The Senate as a whole has a mixed record for the 106th Congress, having nearly equal numbers as Champions (31) and Enemies (35), with the rest in between. 30 of 54 Senate Republicans scored over 75%, as did one Democrat, Robert Byrd (D-WV).

House - The House was generally unfavorable to private property rights, due to its vote in favor of the HR 701 Conservation and Reinvestment Act, "CARA" land grab trust fund and rejection of moderating amendments (see votes 8, 9 and 10). 129 representatives were named Champions, including 125 Republicans, 3 Democrats and 1 Independent.

ENEMIES

An Enemy of property rights is a score below 25% on the LPPV Index.

Senate - 35 Senators were named Enemies of property rights. This included 31 of 46 Senate Democrats and four Republicans, Senators Chaffee (R-RI), Fitzgerald (R-IL), Jeffords (R-VT), and Roth (R-DE).

House - There were 189 House members named Enemies, including 167 Democrats, 21 Republicans and 1 Independent.

• The Editors

The **2000 Private Property Congressional Vote Index** is created and published by **The League of Private Property Voters**, PO Box 423, Battle Ground, Washington 98604; phone (360) 687-2471; fax (360) 687-2973; E-mail *lppv@pacifier.com* © 2000 LPPV. Permission is hereby given to reprint this Index, in full or in part, provided credit is given to LPPV. Editors: Chuck Cushman and Mike Hardiman.

THE MAGNIFICENT SEVEN

The following seven United States Senators are the **HEROES** who fought valiantly in the Energy and Natural Resources committee to stop the CARA land grab. Most committee 'markups,' which is when a bill is debated and amended in committee, last for one day. However, these seven Champions introduced numerous amendments and filed procedural objections day after day, dragging out the markup for six days.

Committee Chairman and CARA sponsor Frank "Sellout" Murkowski ended up getting his pork barrel land grab bill approved by the committee. But because of the Magnificent Seven, the cost in terms of time and political capital was so high that CARA never made it to the Senate floor. Their actions were instrumental in protecting your private property and maintaining commercial and recreational access to federal lands.

Senator Conrad Burns (R-MT) Senator Ben Nighthorse Campbell (R-CO) Senator Larry Craig (R-ID) Senator Pete Domenici (R-NM) Senator Slade Gorton (R-WA) Senator Don Nickles (R-OK) Senator Craig Thomas (R-WY)

"STOPPING A FREIGHT TRAIN AWARD"

At a critical time in the battle, Rep. Helen Chenoweth-Hage led the way in gaining signers for the following letter to Speaker Hastert. The letter makes it clear that attaching CARA to larger legislation in a behind the scenes, darkof-nite land grab is unacceptable.

September 21, 2000

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert Speaker of the House of Representatives H-232 Capitol Washington, DC 20515 Dear Speaker Hastert:

We are concerned that H.R. 701, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act (also known as CARA), may be attached to an appropriations or budget reconciliation bill in the closing days of the 106th Congress. This is especially troublesome since the House will have not had the opportunity to consider a stand alone conference report reconciling the vast differences between the House and Senate versions.

The House version of H.R. 701 would create a trust fund directing approximately \$45 billion dollars away from the appropriations process over a 15-year period. Specifically, it would create seven new government programs which would funnel over three billion dollars per year to federal, state and local governments and to numerous grant programs—without much Congressional oversight. This money would be taken off the table and could not be used for tax relief, debt reduction, shoring up social security, or for any other purpose.

On the other side of the Capitol, the full Senate has not taken action on its version the CARA legislation. However, the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee version of the bill, also \$45 billion over fifteen years, would establish nineteen trust funds. This would include several new proposals that have not been debated by House members. Some of the proposals in the Senate bill include: 1) a Youth Conservation Corps; 2) changes to the Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) program; 3) a decrease in funding for national park maintenance; and 4) \$375 million to be managed by the Commerce Department.

Without a doubt, there should be a discussion and debate in the full House regarding this unprecedented trust fund. We strongly urge you to not allow CARA to be attached to any other bills under consideration, and instead only permit this legislation to go through the regular legislative process.

Signers of CARA letter to Speaker Hastert:

	0		-
•	Bonilla (TX)		Burton (IN)
•	Chenoweth-Hage	(ID) ·	Coburn (OK)
•	Combest (TX)	•	Cook (UT)
•	Cubin (WY)	•	DeLay (TX)
•	DeMint (SC)	•	Emerson (MO)
•	Gibbons (NV)	•	Goode (VA)
•	Hall, R (TX)	•	Hastings (WA)
•	Hayworth (AZ)		Herger (CA)
•	Hoekstra (MI)		Hostettler (IN)
•	Hunter (CA)		Istook (OK)
•	Johnson (TX)	•	Kingston (GA)
•	Knollenberg (MI)		Largent (OK)
•	Lucas (OK)		Manzullo (IL)
•	McKeon (CA)		Nethercutt(WA)
•	Ose (CA)		Paul (TX)
•	Peterson (PA)		Radanovich(CA)
•	Regula (OH)		Rohrabacher (CA)
•	Royce (CA)		Sanford (SC)
•	Schaffer (CO)		Shadegg (AZ)
•	Simpson (ID)		Skeen (NM)
•	Stearns (FL)		Stenholm (TX)
•	Stump (AZ)		Thornberry (TX)
•	Tiahrt (KS)		Walden (OR)
•	Wamp (TN)	•	Wicker (MS)

QUOTE OF THE YEAR

"I think it's an environmental thing," said U.S. Rep. Chris John, D-Crowley.

• The Baton Rouge Advocate newspaper, October 2, 2000

Congressman John was asked by the Advocate why the Clinton Administration objected to a \$285 million annual guaranteed pork barrel spending honeypot for Louisiana. This is the cash payoff in CARA that the Louisiana delegation carved out for themselves in exchange for selling out your private property rights.

He blurted out this memorable one-liner before catching himself and attempting to claim that he and fellow Louisiana porker "Slick Willy" Tauzin were really promoting CARA because of their concern for environmental protection, motherhood and apple pie.

BENEDICT ARNOLD AWARD: "SLICK WILLY" TAUZIN

General Benedict Arnold was for several years one of America's best fighters during the Revolutionary War. His battles against the British fleet on Lake Champlain held off an invading force several times the size of his fledgling navy. He helped the Colonies win many victories over the British Empire.

However, he fell victim to the temptations of cold, hard cash. He succumbed to promises of money from smooth-spoken English spies, sold out the American cause, and began to spy for the British. His treachery nearly caused the loss of the American fortress at West Point, New York. His actions were discovered by General George Washington, and Arnold escaped to England.

Which brings us to Louisiana Congressman "Slick Willy" Tauzin.

Tauzin is the winner of LPPV's first Benedict Arnold Award for selling out private property rights in exchange for a pile of cash. Give him credit for this much - at least he didn't go cheap.

During his 20 year tenure in Congress, Tauzin had been an active Blue Dog Democrat, and provided valuable bipartisan advocacy to private property rights legislation. He also supported multiple use of federal lands, in particular after switching parties to the Republicans in 1996.

However, in the 106th Congress, Tauzin was one of the sponsors and prime movers of HR 701, CARA, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act. Nicknamed the Condemnation and Relocation Act, it moved partway through the legislative process in the 106th Congress, but fell by the wayside and was not enacted.

Among other things, CARA would have guaranteed over one billion dollars per year to local, state and federal governments for land acquisition, placing no restrictions on the power to condemn private property. CARA's \$3 billion dollar trust fund also would have permanently financed the environmental movement with taxpayer grant money.

How could Representative W.J. "Billy" Tauzin sponsor such a bill, and become turncoat "Slick Willy" Tauzin? The reason is simple. Cash.

Aside from financing unprecedented land grabs, CARA would have handed over a guaranteed \$285 million per year to Louisiana for "coastal impact assistance" and "onshore infrastructure." In other words, money for almost any kind of pork barrel spending project that can be imagined, with most of it directed to Tauzin's Mississippi Delta based congressional district.

Other members also decided to cash in instead of standing up for property rights. What places Slick Willy head and shoulders above the rest as LPPV's first, and hopefully last, winner of the Benedict Arnold Award, is that he voted against his own amendment.

In the Resources Committee in November of 1999, and again on the House floor in May of 2000, Tauzin led the debate against an amendment to protect private property inholders from threats made by federal agencies owning property nearby. An "inholder" is someone who owns private property surrounded by government land. Similar issues are faced by owners of property adjacent to, but not surrounded by, government owned lands.

The amendment stated that federal agencies could not place regulations on private property simply because they were inholdings or adjacent to federal lands. Many federal agencies proclaim "buffer zones" around their national park or forest, or draw lines on a map and pronounce vast tracts as "future acquisition areas." The purpose of this regulatory harassment is to discourage or prevent use of private property, devalue the land, and economically damage land owners as much as possible. Tauzin led the debate against this amendment and voted against it.

Now let's flash back to 1994, and take a look at the battle over the Desert Protection Act.

Tauzin sponsored and led the debate in support of the same inholder protection amendment during consideration of the Desert Protection Act! Of course, there was no cash for Louisiana at stake in the desert bill, so "Slick Willy" was free to proclaim his advocacy of the Fifth Amendment's property protection clause, which states "nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation."

Then CARA came along.

Tauzin made a political decision to cashier his credibility as a property rights advocate, and sold out for the money. Not only did he vote against his own amendment to protect inholders, he also voted against amendments to: prohibit adverse condemnation of private property, have no net gain of federal lands, require local approval of federal land grabs, prohibit funds from being used for federal land-use zoning, prohibit loss of lands available for hunting and several others.

For this, "Slick Willy" is the recipient of LPPV's first - and hopefully last - Benedict Arnold Award.



The League of Private Property Wolehs of Private Property Rights 2000 Private Property Congressional Oote Andex Slade Gorton CHAMPTON le Recognizes Senator In the as a Charles S. Cushman, Chairman

JPre Securate " The League of Wrivate Property 9 of Private Property Rights Charles Robb In recognition of achieving 8% on the 2000 Private Property Congressional Vote Index Senator enemy as an

There in ...

Chuck Cushman, Chairman

UNITED STATES SENATE

The votes listed on the scorecard show how each Senator supported (+) or opposed (-) the League of Private Property Voters position. A description of each vote is listed below.

You will gain the greatest benefit by first looking up your Senator to see what his or her private property score was on the scorecard. Then read each vote description. The League's private property position listed near the top of the scorecard shows how we believe your Senator should have voted on each issue. Check to see whether your Senator supported (+) or opposed (-) the League's private property position.

US SENATE VOTES

SENATE VOTE 1 - S 544: Fiscal 1999 Supplemental Spending - Glacier Bay Fishing March 23, 1999 - Reid, D-Nev., motion to table (kill) the Murkowski, R-Alaska, amendment that would prohibit the Interior Department from using funds to restrict commercial fishing or other marine activities in Glacier Bay National Park until Alaska's legal claim to ownership of affected areas is resolved. The motion would negatively impact a significant part of Alaska's fishing business, and with no demonstrated environmental benefit, hurting small businesses and rural communities. Motion was defeated 40-59: R 6-48; D 34-11; I 0-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

SENATE VOTE 2 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Mining Waste Disposal

July 27, 1999 - Stevens, R-Alaska, motion to table (kill) the Murray, D-Wash., amendment that would remove bill language prohibiting implementation of a 1997 Interior Department ruling limiting mining waste disposal to a single, five-acre site for each mining operation. Unlike the House, the Senate chose not to place an arbitrary acreage limitation on mining waste regardless of mine size. The motion allows existing environmental regulation within mining laws to work their course, giving certainty to property owners with patented land. Motion passed 55-41: R 44-9; D 10-32; I 1-0. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

SENATE VOTE 3 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Resource Management Plans

Sept. 9, 1999 - Robb, D-Va., amendment to strike language in the bill that would give

discretion to the secretaries of Agriculture and Interior as to whether new information concerning wildlife should be collected before revising resource management plans for national forests and Bureau of Land Management properties. The amendment would tie the hands of the Agriculture and Interior Secretaries by eliminating certain management flexibility when preparing resource management plans. It would have negatively effected private property inholders within national forests and other federal lands. Rejected 45-52: R 4-47; D 41-4: I 0-1. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

SENATE VOTE 4 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Trapping

Sept. 9, 1999 - Stevens, R-Alaska, motion to table (kill) the Torricelli, D-N.J., amendment that would prevent the use of jawed leghold traps or neck snares in any part of the National Wildlife Refuge System, except for research, subsistence, conservation or facilities The Senate differed protection purposes. from the House by choosing common sense over emotional silliness, allowing continued use of leghold traps within National Wildlife Refuges. The traps are a non-polluting low tech method of predator control used by the National Audubon Society and private land owners. Motion agreed to 64-32: R 47-4; D 17-27; I 0-1. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

SENATE VOTE 5 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Forest Service Programs

Sept. 14, 1999 - Craig, R-Idaho, motion to table (kill) the Bryan, D-Nev., amendment that would reduce funding for timber sales management

and logging road construction by the Forest Service, redirecting the funds for road maintenance, wildlife and fisheries habitat management and threatened and endangered species habitat management. The motion would maintain funds for timber sale management, which includes roads used for recreational access to federal lands and access to private property inholdings. Motion agreed to 54-43: R 45-7; D 8-36; I 1-0. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

SENATE VOTE 6 - HJRES 82: Fiscal 2000 Continuing Appropriations - Coal Mining Practices

Nov. 18, 1999 - Byrd, D-W.Va., amendment that would impose a two-year moratorium on an Oct. 20, 1999, federal court ruling challenging the legality of certain coal mining practices. During the moratorium, mountain mining would proceed under a memorandum of understanding between the Environmental Protection Agency, Interior Department and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The amendment temporarily overrides a court ruling which overturned an EPA brokered Memorandum of Understanding allowing coal mining under strict environmental controls. Adopted 56-33: R 39-8; D 17-25; I 0-0. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

SENATE VOTE 7 - HR 4578: Fiscal 2001 Interior Appropriations/Grazing Permits

June 12, 2000 - Durbin, D-IL, amendment that would strike language in the bill that would require the Bureau of Land Management to renew expiring grazing permits under the same terms and conditions as the old permit, before environmental reviews are complete. BLM has unreasonably dragged out environmental reviews and prevented multiple use of federal lands. Rejected 38-62: R 4-51; D 34-11. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

SENATE VOTE 8 - HR 4578: Fiscal 2001 Interior Appropriations/Wildland Fire Management

July 18, 2000 - Bryan, D-Nev., amendment to reduce funding for the National Forest System's

timber sales program by \$30 million, redirecting \$15 million to wildfire management and the remaining funds to debt reduction. This amendment would prevent timber road maintenance, cutting off access to federal lands that are used for recreation and timber harvest. Rejected 45-54: R 7-47; D 38-7. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

SENATE VOTE 9 - HR 4578: Fiscal 2001 Interior Appropriations/National Monuments

July 18, 2000 - Nickles, R-Okla., amendment that would prohibit the use of funds to designate national monuments without congressional approval. Monument designations severely restrict the rights of private property inholders, and limit recreational use of federal lands. Rejected 49-50: R 48-6; D 1-44. **LPPV position: Support.**

SENATE SCORE 10 - Cosponsorship of CARA, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act. SCORED WITH A DOUBLE WEIGHT.

These are Senators who cosponsored the various CARA legislation proposed in the Senate. All of the bills would have created a multibillion dollar annual land grab trust fund. These would have guaranteed funding to government land acquisition agents to condemn private property as well as providing grant money to leftwing environmental groups. LPPV position: OPPOSE

SENATE SCORE 11 - Signers of the DeWine-Landrieu letter endorsing CARA. SCORED WITH A DOUBLE WEIGHT.

In an effort to bring it to a vote on the Senate floor, CARA supporters Senators Mike DeWine (R-OH) and Mary Landrieu (D-LA) gained signatures for a letter to Majority Leader Trent Lott (R-MS), also a land grab advocate. It read in part, "We encourage you to schedule CARA for consideration by the full Senate in September. We appreciate your support of CARA and look forward to working with you to enact this historic legislation." This letter was used as a proxy for a roll call vote on CARA. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

SENATE SCORECARD													
							<i>h</i> .			NOR NS MANAGEAL	'ENT		
SEN	ATE KEY	FISHING PS GLACE	Z Z	- SAL "WNG WASTE " MANAGE RESC:			"MANS"EST SERVICE " PRACT. 82 CO.	HR 4578 MINING 4 APPROPENTERIC		lGF.	ATIONS MONUMENTS 46 C 2		
+ Supported Pr	rivate Property position vate Property position		A A	Joal "WING WASTE " MANAGE RESO.	AS S C F	(1)	SER	VINI	800	8 % X	R MEN	رد در م	
? Did not vote ((counts as negative)	Č	<u>ן</u>	<u>د</u>	22		18:		PERMITS	HRE MA			
I Ineligible to v	ote at the time	ช	Se			a 40				A TION FIRE I	10 % 8 0 %		
		San	2 2	8 H		2 U	85 85	4578 INTE	21NG P1 4578 P		A A S	Site 1	
		144 11100	P06	246 V 246	- HR 2466 T	64 A	RES D	24 C		PR04	S 25 & S 446 C	DEWINE-LANDRIEU LETTER SIGNEREU	
	% AGREED	EIS!	AH SIG	AL MA	Ę	H A	<u> H</u> A	I 4 8	Z Z Z Z	Y I A N	\$ \$ \$ \$	E E	
	ALL VOTES	•	~	5	-	•	•		8	9	10	11	
SENATOR	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	N	
Alabama	1000												
Shelby (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Sessions, J. (R)	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Alaska	1000												
Stevens (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Murkowski (R)	54%	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Arizona					_	_							
McCain(R)	62%	+	-	?	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	
Kyl(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Arkansas													
Hutchinson, T. (+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
Lincoln(D)	46%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	
California													
Feinstein(D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	
Boxer(D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	
Colorado													
Campbell, B. (F		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Allard(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Connecticut													
Dodd (D)	15%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	
Lieberman(D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Delaware													
Roth(R)	23%	+	-	?	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	
Biden(D)	0%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Florida													
Graham, B. (D)		-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mack(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Georgia													
Miller(D)	0%	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	-	
Cleland (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	
Hawaii													
Inouye(D)	38%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	
Akaka (D)	8%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Idaho													
Craig(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Crapo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Illinois													
Durbin(D)	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Fitzgerald(R)	23%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	

October 2000

										ATIONS FIRE MANAGEME	ENT	
SENA			HR 246 MINING	ļų			"HURES CO."	HR 4578 MINING 4 APPROPRINTERIOS		GEN	, S	
+ Supported Priv	vate Property position		Z BZ	⁴ <i>S</i> ₇	4 S		ER	NN		<u> </u>	A TIONS MONUMENTS	
- Opposed Priva ? Did not vote (c	ate Property position counts as negative)		मित	Ž		SN S	5	ES CAL MI	PERMITS INTERIOLS	FIRE MA	MONUM 46 CA	
I Ineligible to vo	te at the time		Es i			da						
g		ູດ	MIN REC	, RE			S S S	SH SH	PERMITS BINTERICS		4 × 4 8	
		× 2	466	HR 246 RESC.	- HR 2466 T	466 3R1		R 4578	R 4578	LAND 4578 RODE	525 & S 46 MONUME COSPONS	DEWINE-LANDRIEU
	% AGREED	554 SHI	4 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	\$ \$ \$	N A	4 80 80 80	RAC A	H A A			52	E T
	ALL VOTES	ッ _に 1	+ Q 2	+ <u>×</u> 3	I 4	τ <u>α</u> 5	- <u>4</u> - 6	7	8	9	10	
SENATOR	LPPV POSITION:	N	Ŷ	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	, N	N	Y	N	N
Indiana												
Lugar(R)	62%	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	_
Bayh(D)	23%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	_	_	_	_
Iowa	2370	I			1		I					
Grassley(R)	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_
Harkin(D)	30%	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	+	+
Kansas	2070											I
Brownback(R)	77%	+	+	+	+	_	_	+	_	+	+	+
Roberts (R)	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Kentucky												
McConnell(R)	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Bunning(R)	62%	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	-	-
Louisiana												
Breaux (D)	54%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Landrieu (D)	38%	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
Maine												
Snowe(R)	70%	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Collins, S. (R)	70%	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Maryland												
Sarbanes (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mikulski (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts												
Kennedy, E. (D)		-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kerry, J. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan												
Levin, C. (D)	23%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
Abraham(R)	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Minnesota	0.04											
Wellstone (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grams, R. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mississippi	(20)	0										
$\operatorname{Cochran}(\mathbf{R})$	62%	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Lott (R)	62%	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Missouri	CO 0/						0					
Bond(R)	62%	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	-	-
Ashcroft(R)	62%	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	-	-
Montana Bayong M (D)	30%					1						
Baucus, $M.(D)$	30% 100%	-	-	-	+	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	- +	-	-
Burns(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+

League of Private Property Voters

							Lu,			D FIRE MANAGE	MENT	
SENA	TE KEY		کے ج	щ			NСI	g		GE,	ī 2	
+ Supported Priv	vate Property position		A B	AS1	щŚ		SER		~	~ ₹	EN1	5
- Opposed Priva ? Did not vote (c	ate Property position ounts as negative)	ć		2	57	N I	10		RINUS FRICE	588		
I Ineligible to vo	te at the time	77	Se N		ŜE .		ý c	INTERIO	ERMITS VTERIOLS			
_		S.	N N N				SN 10					Sign P
		FISHING S GLAD	HR 246 MINING	HR 2466 RESOL	- HR 2466 TO	2466 GRA	"HURES BY CO."	HR 4578 INTERIO	HR 4578 APPROPE	4578 1 1 0007	COSPONAL MONUMENTS	LETTER SIGNERS
	% AGREED	S 54	HR Sp	A S	\$ 2	4 N N	A A	E C C	E E E E	L H A	525	ET I
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
SENATOR	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
Nebraska												
Kerrey, R. (D)	15%	_	_	_	+	_	_	+	_	_	_	_
Hagel(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nevada	10070		·	·		·						·
Reid, H. (D)	30%	_	+	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	+	_
Bryan(D)	15%	_	+	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	-	_
New Hampshire			·									
Smith, R.C. (R)	62%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Gregg(R)	54%	+	-	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	-	-
New Jersey						-						
Lautenberg (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	_	-	-
Torricelli(D)	0%	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-
New Mexico												
Domenici(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bingaman(D)	23%	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	_	-	-
New York												
Moynihan(D)	8%	+	?	-	?	-	?	-	-	-	-	-
Schumer(D)	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
North Carolina												
Helms(R)	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Edwards, J. (D)	30%	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
North Dakota												
Conrad (D)	54%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-
Dorgan (D)	30%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Ohio												
DeWine(R)	46%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Voinovich(R)	92%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Oklahoma												
Nickles(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Inhofe(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Oregon												
Wyden(D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smith, G. (R)	70%	+	+	+	-	+	?	+	+	+	-	+
Pennsylvania												
Specter (R)	30%	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-
Santorum(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Inhofe(R) Oregon Wyden(D) Smith, G. (R) Pennsylvania Specter(R)	100% 0% 70% 30%	+ - + +	+ - +	+ - + -	+ - -	+ - +	+ - ? +	+ - + +	+ - + -	+ - + +	+ - -	+ - + -

Ъ

										ATONOR FIRE MANAGEME	'ENT	
SENA			HR 246 MINING	HR 246 RESC.			"MMS"EST SERVICE " PRACE 82 CO.	HR 4578 INTERIC		GEN	MATIONS MONUMENTS	
+ Supported Priv	vate Property position		A B	AST	GEMENT PLANS HR 2466 TC		ER I	NIN	~			
- Opposed Priva ? Did not vote (c	ate Property position counts as negative)		UH CH	Ž	N N N	NC NC	510		PERMITS	FIRE MA		
I Ineligible to vo	te at the time		Se S		35		y c					
		ູ	MI RE	RE		2 Q	SW SS					Sign F
		2 (* 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2466 2466	CEMENT PLANS	3P.46		4578 INTI	HR 4578	-ULAND FIRE NG R 4578 INTERIO PROPERTERIO	5 25 & S 446 CAL	DEWINE-LANDRIEU
	% AGREED	S 54	AR SP	¥ Z	2 2	A NOR	RACK.	A A A			252 08/52	ETT
	ALL VOTES	1	2	- <i>2</i> 3		5	6	7	8	• • > 9	10	
SENATOR	LPPV POSITION:	N	Ŷ	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	N	N
Rhode Island												
Reed, J. (D)	15%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_
Chafee, Lincoln		Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	_	_	_	_	_	_
South Carolina	(IV) 070	1	1	T	1	1						
Thurmond, S. (R	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_
Hollings(D)	30%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	_	_
South Dakota	5070	1	1		1		1					
Daschle(D)	70%	_	+	_	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	+
Johnson, T. (D)	23%	_	-	_	+	+	_	-	+	_	-	-
Tennessee	2370				I	I			1			
Thompson, F. (F	R) 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Frist(R)	62%	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	-	_
Texas	0270						•					
Gramm, P. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hutchison, K. (R		+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
Utah)) = /0	·					•		·			
Hatch(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bennett(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Vermont												
Leahy (D)	8%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jeffords(R)	8%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia												
Warner (R)	54%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Robb (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Washington												
Gorton, S. (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
Murray (D)	38%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+
West Virginia												
Byrd(D)	92%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rockefeller(D)	23%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
Wisconsin												
Kohl(D)	30%	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
Feingold(D)	23%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Wyoming												
Thomas, C. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Enzi(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Ъ

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The votes listed below show how each Representative supported (+) or opposed (-) the League of Private Property Voters position. A description of each vote is listed below.

You will gain the greatest benefit by first looking up your Representative to see what his or her private property score was on the scorecard. Then read each vote description. The League's private property position listed near the top of the scorecard shows how we believe your Representative should have voted on each issue. Check to see whether your Representative supported (+) or opposed (-) the League's private property position.

US HOUSE VOTES

HOUSE VOTE 1 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior **Appropriations - Land Acquisition**

July 13, 1999 - McGovern, D-MA, amendment to appropriate \$30 million for the state-side matching grant program of the Land and Water Conservation Fund. The amendment would offset the increase in funding by reducing the appropriations for Energy Department fossil energy research and development by \$29 million and for the Bureau of Land Management transportation facilities and management by \$1 million. The amendment adds to already excessive land acquisition budgets that permit adverse condemnation of private property. It also takes money away from scarce Bureau of Land Management operating funds. Amendment passed 213-202: R 55-157; D 157-45; I 1-0. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 2 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior

Appropriations - **Mining Waste** July 14, 1999 - Rahall, D-WV, amendment to prohibit the processing of applications for mining plans or operations that would use more than five acres to dispose of mining waste. The amendment would effectively shut down nearly all new mining proposals regardless of their environmental mitigation efforts by establishing an arbitrary acreage limit for waste, regardless of the size of This will severely impact patented the mine. private lands. Amendment approved 273-151: R 78-143; D 194-8; I 1-0. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 3 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior **Appropriations - Reduce Timber Management** July 14, 1999 - Wu, D-OR, amendment to earmark \$196.9 million of National Forest System funding for timber sales management, \$120.5 million for wildlife and fisheries habitat management, and \$40.2 million for watershed improvements. Without the amendment, the bill would allocate \$220 million for timber sales management, \$103.5 million for wildlife and fisheries habitat management, and \$34 million for watershed improvements. The amendment cuts back funds to manage timber sales, which are an important

part of many rural communities local tax and economic base and a method of wildfire control. Amendment rejected 174-250: R 25-195; D 148-55; I 1-0. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 4 - HR 2605: Fiscal 2000 Energy and Water Appropriations - Wetlands Permits July 27, 1999 - Visclosky, D-IN, amendment to remove provisions that would require the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to undertake studies and issue a report to Congress before it revises its permit program for developing wetlands areas of less than three acres. The amendment also would remove provisions that would authorize the federal appeal of certain wetlands designations prior to completion of the Corps permit process. The amendment seeks to cut back Congress's oversight authority on wetlands laws, and weaken legal protections for property owners seeking wetlands permits. Amendment rejected 183-245: R 6-214; D 176-31; I 1-0. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 5 - HR 2670: Fiscal 2000 **Commerce-Justice-State** Appropriations **Defund World Heritage Sites**

Aug. 5, 1999 - Hayworth, R-AZ, amendment to prohibit any funds in the bill from being used to add any natural site or cultural monument currently recognized as a World Heritage Site by the United Nations' World Heritage Committee to the committee's list of endangered world heritage sites. The amendment prohibits American tax money from being used to support the United Nations ongoing efforts to establish land use control over private property in the United States. Adopted 217-209: R 199-20; D 18-188; I 0-1. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

HOUSE VOTE 6 - HR 2389: Timber Revenues for Rural Communities - Passage

Nov. 3, 1999 - Passage of the bill (sponsored by Deal, R-GA and Boyd, D-FL) to guarantee that counties adjacent to National Forest Service lands will receive a percentage of the agency's timber sales revenues equal to their average

payment of the highest three years since 1985. The measure would create a five-year safety net for communities whose forest payments have been reduced in recent years. The measure would require counties receiving more than \$100,000 in payments to use 20 percent of the payments for community-based projects and 80 percent for roads and schools. Excellent legislation that helps rural communities with the economic impacts of declining timber sales due to zealous, punitive enforcement of the Endangered Species Act. Passed 274-153: R 187-29; D 87-123; I 0-1. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

HOUSE VOTE 7 - HR 2372 - Property Rights/ Passage

March 16, 2000 - Passage of the bill that would expedite the process that landowners must use to bring local land use cases involving the "takings" clause of the Fifth Amendment to federal courts. Property owners would be able to take their claim to federal court if the local government had denied the initial application to develop property, an appeal and a request for a waiver. The bill, as amended, would also require federal agencies to notify affected property owners of their rights and procedures for obtaining any compensation due to them within 30 days of an agency decision. Passed 226-182: R 173-33; D 52-148; I 1-1. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

HOUSE VOTE 8 - HR 701 - CARA: Protect Inholders - SCORED WITH A DOUBLE WEIGHT May 10, 2000 - Pombo, R-CA, an amendment to protect the rights of an owner of non-Federal real property to use and enjoy that property even if he or she is within the boundaries of, or adjacent to, a Federal unit as a consequence of the acquisition of lands for that unit with money made available by CARA. Amendment defeated 171-253: R 154-61; D 15-191. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

HOUSE VOTE 9 - HR 701 - CARA: Prohibit Condemnation - SCORED WITH A DOUBLE WEIGHT

May 11, 2000 - Calvert, R-CA, an amendment to limit the use of funds from CARA, for "adverse condemnation" of private property. It was much stronger "willing seller" language than the loose language that eventually passed. A vote for this amendment strongly protected landowners from being forced to sell their property. A NO vote on this amendment kept the door open to condemnation by Federal land agents employed by the Park Service, Forest Service, Fish and Wildlife Service and Bureau of Land Management.

Amendment defeated 158-261: R 144-68; D 13-192. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

HOUSE VOTE 10 - HR 701 - CARA: Final **Passage - SCORED WITH A DOUBLE WEIGHT** May 11, 2000 - The Conservation and Reinvestment Act (CARA) is the largest land acquisition act in history. It will provide approximately \$3 billion per year for 15 years (\$45 billion in total) without going through the Congressional appropriations process or having to compete with other budget priorities. In other words, it is a new entitlement, most of which can be used for land acquisition. It is the most dangerous legislation affecting private property and landowners since the Endangered Species Act a generation ago. It is a "pork barrel" bill of the worst order. Because it has a piece of the money pie for many Congressmen to take home in an election year, many have failed to consider the long term social and economic consequences of taking millions of acres of land off the tax roles, expanding Federal land use control and forcing thousands of rural residents off their land and into the cities. The League of Private Property Voters considered CARA such an important vote that Congress was notified in advance that this vote would be scored double. A NO vote on final passage is in support of private property rights and landowners. CARA Passed 315-102: R 118-93; D 196-8. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 11 - HR 4578 - Fiscal 2001 Interior Appropriations/National Monuments June 15, 2000 - Hansen, R-UT, amendment to the Dicks, D-Wash., amendment that would reinstate the bill's provision that would prohibit the Interior Department from using funds to design, plan or manage federal lands as national monuments that have been designated since 1999 under the Antiquities Act. The Clinton Administration has been declaring monuments without local involvement. Rejected 187-234: R 177-38; D9-195; I1-1. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**


HOUSE VOTE 12 - HR 4461 - Fiscal 2001 Agriculture Appropriations/Wild Animanls July 11, 2000 - DeFazio, D-OR, amendment that would reduce funding for the Agriculture Department's Wildlife Services program by \$7 million and specify that none of the program's

million and specify that none of the program's funds could be used to conduct campaigns for the destruction of wild predatory animals, such as mountain lions and bobcats, for the purpose of protecting livestock. Rejected 190-228: R 56-159; D 133-68; I 1-1. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE SCORECARD

HOUSE KEY

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position + -
- ? I Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time




	% AGREED	Ę.	HR DISF	MAN	PER	Щ. На На На На На На На На На На На На На	REL E	RIGH	7 8 0 8 0	FOR FOR	H H H	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	APP WILD
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
Alabama													
1 Callahan (R)	53%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	?
2 Everett (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Riley (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
4 Aderholt (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Cramer (D)	47%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
6 Bachus, S. (R)	53%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 Hilliard (D)	33%	+		+	-	-	+	+	_	-	-	-	+
Alaska	5570												1
Al Young, D. (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	-	+	+
Arizona	0070					1							1
1 Salmon (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Pastor (D)	20%	'	+	-	+	'	'_	'	-			-	+
3 Stump (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Shadegg (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Kolbe (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	- -	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Hayworth (R)	93%	- -	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Arkansas	9370	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ
1 Berry (D)	87%												
2 Snyder (D)		+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Hutchinson, A. (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
4 Dickey (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
California	2004	0											
1 Thompson, M. (D)	20%	?	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
2 Herger (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Ose (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Doolittle (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Matsui (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Woolsey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Miller, George (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Pelosi (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Lee (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Tauscher (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Pombo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Lantos (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Stark (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	_	-	?	-	-	-	-	-
14 Eshoo (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
15 Campbell, T. (R)	27%	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	?
16 Lofgren (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	?	?	?	-
17 Farr (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
18 Condit (D)	53%	_	_	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	-	-	+
19 Radanovich (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20 Dooley (D)	42%	+		+	+	-	+	+				+	+
21 Thomas, B. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22 Capps (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	'_		-	<u>'</u>		-	+
23 Gallegly (R)	67%	_	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
24 Sherman (D)	0%	-	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	- -	- -	- -	- -	Ŧ	-	- -	-
25 McKeon (R)	100%		-	-	-					-	-		
		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
26 Berman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	-	-	-	-
27 Rogan (R)	67%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
28 Dreier (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
29 Waxman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30 Becerra (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?
31 Martinez (D)	47%	+	-	-	?	-	+	+	?	+	-	+	+
32 Dixon (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33 Roybal-Allard (D)	0%	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

October 2000

HOUSE KEY

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position +
- -? I Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time




	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν
California (continued)		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
34 Napolitano (D)	7%						+						
35 Waters (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	Ŧ	-	-	-	-	-	-
36 Kuykendall (R)	33%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
37 Millender-McDonald (D)	0%	_	_	т	-	-	т	т	_	_	_	_	_
38 Horn (R)	33%	+	_	_	+	-	+	-	-	+	_	_	_
39 Royce (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_
40 Lewis, Jerry (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
41 Miller, Gary (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
42 Baca, J. (D)	67%	Í	Í	Í	Í	Í	Í	+	+	+	-	-	+
43 Calvert (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
44 Bono (R)	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
45 Rohrabacher (R)	87%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
46 Sanchez (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
47 Cox (R)	80%	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	-
48 Packard (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
49 Bilbray (R)	13%	_	-	+	+	?	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
50 Filner (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51 Cunningham (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
52 Hunter (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Colorado													
1 DeGette (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	-	-
2 Udall, M. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 McInnis (R)	73%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	-	+
4 Schaffer (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Hefley (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
6 Tancredo (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Connecticut													
1 Larson (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Gejdenson (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 DeLauro (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Shays (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Maloney, J. (D)	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Johnson, N. (R)	27%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
Delaware													
Al Castle (R)	7%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida													
1 Scarborough (R)	20%	?	-	-	+	+	?	+	-	-	-	-	?
2 Boyd (D)	40%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
3 Brown, C. (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
4 Fowler (R)	67%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
5 Thurman, K. (D)	13%	?	?	?	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
6 Stearns (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Mica (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
8 McCollum (R)	47%	+	-	+	+	+	+	?	+	-	-	?	?
9 Bilirakis (R)	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
10 Young, C.W. (R) 11 Davis, J. (D)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+
11 Davis, J. (D)	7%	-	-	?	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	?
12 Canady (R)	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
13 Miller, D. (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
$14 \operatorname{Goss}(R)$	53%	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
15 Weldon, D. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Foley (R) (R)	33%	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
17 Meek, C. (D)	7%	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	+
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	67%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
19 Wexler (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

League of Private Property Voters

HOUSE KEY

% AGREED

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position +
- -? I Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time




	% AGREED	I	~ Q	- 2	- Q.	- I	~~	- &	- 0	-4	- 4	` ~	-45
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	N	N	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	N	Ŷ	N
	2					•	•	•	· ·	•			
Florida (continued)	0.0/												
20 Deutsch (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21 Diaz-Balart (R)	67%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
22 Shaw (R)	53%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
23 Hastings, A. (D)	0%	?	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia													
1 Kingston (R)	93%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Bishop (D)	33%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
3 Collins, M. (R)	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
4 McKinney (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Lewis, John (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-
6 Isakson (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	-	-	+	+
7 Barr (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
8 Chambliss (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
9 Deal (R)	53%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	1	_	+	+
	80%									-	-	$\stackrel{+}{?}$	
10 Norwood (R)		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		+
11 Linder (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hawaii	70/												
1 Abercrombie (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
2 Mink (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Idaho													
1 Chenoweth-Hage (R)	80%	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	?
2 Simpson (R)	93%	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Illinois													
1 Rush (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-
2 Jackson, J. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
3 Lipinski (D)	13%	+	_	_	_	_	+	?	_	_	_	_	_
4 Gutierrez (D)	7%	-					+	-					
5 Blagojevich (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	т	-	-	-	-	-	-
			-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-
6 Hyde (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	<i>!</i>	+	-	-	+	-
7 Davis, D. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Crane (R)	53%	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	+	-	-	+	-
9 Schakowsky (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Porter (R)	7%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Weller (R)	47%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	-	+	-
12 Costello (D)	13%	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Biggert (R)	27%	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	-	-	-	-	-
14 Hastert (R)					SP	EAKE	R DOE	S NOT	г vo)TE			
15 Ewing (R)	93%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Manzullo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
17 Evans (D)	7%	-	_	+	-				-	?	_	-	-
18 LaHood (R)	67%	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+
19 Phelps (D)	27%		-	Ŧ		- -			-	Ŧ	-	- -	- -
19 Phelps (D)		+		-	+		+	+		-	-		
20 Shimkus (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Indiana	224												
1 Visclosky (D)	33%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
2 McIntosh (R)	53%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	?	+	?
3 Roemer (D)	20%	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
4 Souder (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
5 Buyer (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Burton (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Pease (R)	40%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	_	+	-
8 Hostettler (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Hill, B. (D)	27%	+	-	-	- -	+	+	+	-	_	-	- -	-
											-		-
10 Carson (D)	27% 0%	-					1	-	-				

HOUSE KEY

% AGREED

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position +
- Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time
- -? I




	% AGREED	Ĩ	- 4	15	70	- 1	- A	- Q	- 4	- L	- 6	·	743
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			N	Ň		Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ		Ŷ	
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	N	N	N	Ť	ř	ř	Ť	Ť	N	ľ	N
Iowa													
1 Leach (R)	13%	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Nussle (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
3 Boswell (D)	40%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	_	<u>'</u>	_	-	+
4 Ganske (R)	40%										-		
		+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
5 Latham (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kansas													
1 Moran, Jerry (R)	87%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Ryun, J. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Moore (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Tiahrt (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kentucky													
1 Whitfield (R)	40%	+	_	+	+	+	+	?	-	-	_	+	-
2 Lewis, R. (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+
											-		
3 Northup (R)	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
4 Lucas, K. (D)	53%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
5 Rogers (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
6 Fletcher (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Louisiana													
1 Vitter (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
2 Jefferson (D)	20%	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	_	_	-	-
3 Tauzin (R)	47%	?	+	+	+	+	+	+				+	-
	47% 60%								-	-	-		+
4 McCrery (R)		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	
5 Cooksey (R)	53%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Baker (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
7 John (D)	53%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
Maine													
1 Allen (D)	7%	?	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Baldacci (D)	33%	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
Maryland													
1 Gilchrest (R)	27%	_	_	+	+	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	+
2 Ehrlich (R)	60%	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	-	+
	0%	-	-		Ŧ			Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	
3 Cardin (D)		-	-	- ?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Wynn (D)	7%	-	?	•	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Hoyer (D)	33%	-	?	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
6 Bartlett (R)	93%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Cummings (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Morella (R)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts													
1 Olver (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Neal (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
3 McGovern (D)	0%					_							_
4 Eranla Damas (D)	0%	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Frank, Barney (D)		-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Meehan (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Tierney (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Markey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Capuano (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Moakley (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Delahunt (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	0,0												
1 Stupel: (D)	200/												
1 Stupak (D)	20%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
2 Hoekstra (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
3 Ehlers (R)	20%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
4 Camp (R)	070/	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	87%												
5 Barcia (D)	87% 40%	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
6 Upton (R)			-		- +			-+	-	+	-	-	- +

League of Private Property Voters

HOUSE KEY

% AGREED

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position +
- -? I Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time




		1	-4	- 4	-4	- 2	- 4	- 4	-4	-4	- 4	`<	-43
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
Michigan (continued)													
7 Smith, Nick (R)	93%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Stabenow (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
9 Kildee (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Bonior (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Knollenberg (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Levin, S. (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	_
13 Rivers (D)	0%	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Conyers (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Kilpatrick (D)	0%	-	-	-	_	-	?	_	-	-	_	_	_
16 Dingell (D)	13%	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	+
Vinnesota	1370						1						I
1 Gutknecht (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
2 Minge (D)	13%	-	т	+	T	- -	- -	Т	т.	- -	-	- -	+
3 Ramstad (R)	7%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Vento (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	Ŧ	-	?	-	-	?	?	?
5 Sabo (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-		4	-
	13% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
6 Luther (D)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Peterson, C. (D)	60%	+	-	+	$^+_{?}$	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
8 Oberstar (D)	20%	+	-	+	<i>!</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Mississippi	070/									0			
1 Wicker (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
2 Thompson, B. (D)	20%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
3 Pickering (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
4 Shows (D)	67%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	+
5 Taylor, G. (D)	40%	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Missouri	0.0/												
1 Clay (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Talent (R)	87%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Gephardt (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Skelton (D)	33%	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	-	-	-	-	+
5 McCarthy, K. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-
6 Danner (D)	67%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	+
7 Blunt (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Emerson (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Hulshof (R)	67%	-	-	-	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	-
Montana													
Al Hill, R. (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Nebraska													
1 Bereuter (R)	20%	-	-	?	+	-	?	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Terry (R)	80%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
3 Barrett, B. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nevada													
1 Berkley (D)	13%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
2 Gibbons (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
New Hampshire													
1 Sununu (R)	73%	?	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
2 Bass (R)	27%	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	_,,,,				•		•						
1 Andrews (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 LoBiondo (R)	20%	-	_	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	_	-
3 Saxton (R)	13%	_	_	_	+	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	+
4 Smith, C. (R)	7%	-	-	_	F _	_ _L	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
5 Roukema (R)	27%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Pallone (D)	27%	-	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	-	-	-	Ŧ	-	-	-
7 Franks, Bob (R)	13%	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	- ?	-	-	?	-

HOUSE KEY

% AGREED

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position +
- -? I Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time



	% AGREED	Ĩ	40	12	20	11	- A	- A	- 2	11	-	~ X S	743
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			N	Ň		Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ		Ŷ	N
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	N	N	N	Ť	ř	Ť	T	Ť	N	Ť	<u>N</u>
New Jersey (continued)													
8 Pascrell (D)	0%	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Rothman (D)	13%	_	_	_	_	_	+	+	_	_	_	_	-
10 Payne (D)	0%						I	2					?
11 Frelinghuysen (R)	13%	-	-	+	+	-	-	÷	-	-	-	-	-
		-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Holt (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Menendez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico													
1 Wilson (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
2 Skeen (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
3 Udall, T. (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
New York													
1 Forbes (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?
2 Lazio (R)	7%	-	_	_	_	+	_	-	_	_	-	_	-
3 King, P. (R)	40%	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	-
4 MaCarthy C (D)		-	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	-	-	Ŧ	-
4 McČarthy, C. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Ackerman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Meeks, G. (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
7 Crowley (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
8 Nadler (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Weiner (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Towns (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
11 Owens (D)	0%	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	?
12 Velazquez (D)	7%	-	-	_	_	_	+	-	-	_	-	_	-
13 Fossella (R)	60%	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_
14 Maloney, C. (D)	7%	-	-	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-
		-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
15 Rangel (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Serrano (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17 Engel (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Lowey (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
19 Kelly (R)	13%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20 Gilman (R)	13%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21 McNulty (D)	0%	-	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?
22 Sweeney (R)	73%	?	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
23 Boehlert (R)	20%		-	+	+	-	+	-	-		-	-	-
24 McHugh (R)	73%	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
25 Walsh (R)	20%	-	-	- -	+	- -	+	т	т	т	- ?	- -	+
		-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	4	-	÷
26 Hinchey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27 Reynolds (R)	67%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
28 Slaughter (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?
29 LaFalce (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30 Quinn (R)	33%	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
31 Houghton (R)	33%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina													
1 Clayton (D)	20%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
2 Etheridge (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
3 Jones, W. (R)	73%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-
	7%		т					т	т	т	-		
4 Price, D. (D)		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
$5 \operatorname{Burr}(R)$	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
6 Coble (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	+
7 McIntyre (D)	40%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
8 Hayes (R)	67%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
9 Myrick (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	-	+	+
10 Ballenger (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
11 Taylor, C. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Watt, M. (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
12, 1.1. (D)	1570												· .

League of Private Property Voters

HOUSE KEY

			HR 2466 MINNA				HR 2389 RURAL » REVENIL RURAL	È					
HOUSE	KEY		0 <u>F</u>	HR 246 REDUCE WASTE	HR JEMENTE TIMBER		HR 2389 RURA	2		HR ZOI - CARA	ð		ATIOUR MONUMENTS AGRICULTURE
+ Supported Private			lsin	⁴ S7	IMB	ŝ	ð	NW.	\$	RS.	47,		NENTS S MENTS LTURE
 Opposed Private F 	Property position		Š	Ż	L L	Į.	S	ິ	Г щ	ĐĒ	Ň	. Š	282 28
 Pid not vote (count Ineligible to vote at 	ts as negative)		V .						10 	5 Q Z			MONS MONUM AGRICUL ALSNS
				, ^I	NE NE	DE	5 5	4	5 7 0 7	हैं है	ਨੂੰ ਨੂੰ	SS IS	L MONUM AGRICULI MALSONS
		6	99	200	HR 2005 WENT TIME	2 20		HR 2372 PROPE	HR TOT - CARA C PROTEC - CARA		HR ZOT CONDEMN	R 4578 INTERIO	HR 4461 M HR 4461 M APPROPRAT WILD ANIMALS
		246	20	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	97 J	27.20 17.20	ลี่นี่	NI NI	24	28	24	A 0 1	
	% AGREED	Ę	H SI	H N N	ЩЩ	Η̈́Ē	Щ Ш Ш	RIG R	ΞÅ Å	ΞQ	ΪĽ	I A A	H A A A
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
North Dakota													
Al Pomeroy (D)	33%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
Ohio 1 Chabot (R)	80%	+	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
2 Portman (R)	33%	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Hall, T. (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	?	-	-	-	-
4 Oxley (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Gillmor (R)	87% 27%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
6 Strickland (D) 7 Hobson (R)	27% 93%	++	+	++	-	+	++	+	+	-	+	-	+ +
8 Boehner (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Kaptur (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
10 Kucinich (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Jones, S. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-
12 Kasich (R)	73%	?	-	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	-	+
13 Brown, S. (D) 14 Sawyer (D)	0% 0%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Pryce, D. (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
16 Regula (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
17 Traficant (D)	47%	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
18 Ney (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
19 LaTourette (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
Oklahoma 1 Largent (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Coburn (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Watkins (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Watts, J.C. (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
5 Istook (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	+
6 Lucas, F. (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	+
Oregon 1 Wu (D)	7%	_	_	_	-	_	+	-	-	_	-	_	-
2 Walden (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Blumenauer (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 DeFazio (D)	13%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Hooley (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania 1 Brady, R. (D)	13%	+	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
2 Fattah (D)	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
3 Borski (D)	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Klink (D)	27%	+	-	+	-	-	+	?	-	-	-	-	+
5 Peterson, J. (R)	87%	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Holden (D) 7 Weldon, C. (R)	40%	+	-	+	-	-	-?	+	+	-	-	-	+
8 Greenwood (R)	27% 20%	+	-	+ +	++	+	; +	- 2	-	-	-	-?	-
9 Shuster (R)	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
10 Sherwood (R)	67%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+
11 Kanjorski (D)	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
12 Murtha (D)	60%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
13 Hoeffel (D)	0% 7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Coyne (D) 15 Toomey (R)	80%	++	-	+	-+	-+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
16 Pitts (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
17 Gekas (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
18 Doyle (D)	27%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	?	-	-	-
19 Goodling (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20 Mascara (D)	33%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+

October 2000

League of Private Property Voters


HOUSE KEY

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position +
- -? I Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time
- HR 2389 REVENUE RURAL COMMUNITY 1 ^{R 2466} LAND ACQUISITION HR 2670 DEFUND HERITAGE SITES WORLD HR 2466 MANAGEMEDUCE TIMBER HR 2466 DISPOSAL MINING WASTE ⁴R 2605 WETLANDS HR 2372 PROPERTY RIGHTSIPASSAGE

				V DISPOS, MINNIC					HR 2372 PROBE					
	HOUSE K	KEY		HR 2466 MINING	.44	HR 2005 WEN .		HR 2389 KUP	รั			E FINAL D. CONDEMNATION		ATIONS MONUMENTS AGRICULTURE ALSONSTURE
+ 5	Supported Private I			Sin	IST.	IMB	6	ð	WW	HR 701 - CARA PROTECT CARA	SS	ž		AL MONUMENTS AGRICULTURE MALSONSTURE
- (Opposed Private Pr	roperty position		g	ž		Ś	2	ပိ	Ê.	ΡĒ	Ĩ.	ć	
? [Did not vote (counts	s as negative)		T (<u>ن</u>	ζ,	रे ह		\vec{t}		້ຊັ້		- <u>4</u> 8	
I I	Ineligible to vote at t	the time			: <u>5</u>		- 4	15 3	d d	AR SS	E E		5	L'S L'S
			-	y \$.				ų A				5 0	N 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
			466	20	6 8 6 7 6	HR 2005 WENT FIME PERMITS WENT	\$ \$		HR 2372 PROPE	б 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	52	HR TOT CONDEMN	RASSAGE	HR 4461 MU HR 4461 AGH WILD ANIMAL
			Ŷ	45		8	R	8	20	202	A A	22	₹ ª F	889
		% AGREED	Ŧ	ΞĞ	ΞŹ	Ξď	ΤÏ	T T	77	Ξđ	ΤĻ	ΤË	~~~~	
		ALL VOTES	•	-	Ū	-	5	v		U	5	10	••	12
REPRES	ENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N
	vania (continued)	2224												
21 En Rhode Is	nglish (R) Sland	33%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	nnedy, P. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_
	ygand (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
South C	arolina													
	ford (R)	73%	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
	ence (R) ham, L. (R)	93% 87%	-+	+	++	+	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	$^{+}_{2}$	+ +	+ +
	Mint (R)	87%	+	+	++	+	++	++	++	+	+	?	+	+
	ratt (D)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	vburn (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South D		73%												
Tenness	nune (R)	/3%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
	kins (R)	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	ncan (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
3 Wa	mp(R)	80%	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
	leary (R) ment (D)	93% 27%	-	+	+ +	++	+	+ +	++	+	+	+	+	+
	rdon, B. (D)	20%	-	-	-	- -	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	ant (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	nner (D)	53%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
	d (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	?	-	-
Texas 1 San	dlin (D)	73%	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	_	+
	mer (D)	33%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
3 Joh	nson, Sam (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	$\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}. (\mathbb{D})$	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	sions, P. (R) ton (R)	87% 87%	++	+	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	- 2	+ +	+ +
	cher (R)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
	udy, K. (R)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	npson (D)	20%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	oggett (D) dwards, C. (D)	0% 53%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-+	-	-	-	-
	ranger (R)	100%	+ +	-+	+ +	++	-+	+ +	+ +	+	-+	+	+	+ +
	hornberry (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
14 Pa	ul (R)	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	+	+	+	+	-
15 Hi	inojosa (D)	20%	-	-	+	-	-	+	?	-	-	-	?	+
	eyes (D) enholm (D)	20% 100%	- +	+ +	-+	- +	?	+ +	-+	-+	-+	-+	- +	+ +
	ckson-Lee, S. (D)	7%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19 Co	ombest (R)	67%	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
20 Go	onzalez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	nith, Lamar (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	eLay (R) onilla (R)	100% 100%	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
	cost (D)	40%	++	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	+
25 Be	entsen (D)	20%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
26 Ai	rmey (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	rtiz (D)	47%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
	odriguez (D) reen, G. (D)	13% 27%	- +	-	-	-	-	+ +	- +	-+	-	-	-	+
	(U, U, U)	L 1 70	T	-	-									

HOUSE	KEY
-------	-----

- Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position Did not vote (counts as negative) Ineligible to vote at the time +
- -? I



	% AGREED	Ę	ĪĂ	ĪŽ	Ξ₩	ΞĦ	ΞH	ΤÏ	ΞĔ	Ξũ	ĪĽ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	IAZ
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
Utah													
1 Hansen (R)	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
2 Cook (R)	87%	-	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
3 Cannon (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Vermont	10070			·									·
Al Sanders (I)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
Virginia	0,0												
1 Bateman (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	-	-	+	+
2 Pickett (D)	47%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
3 Scott (D)	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	_	-	-	-	-
4 Sisisky (D)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
5 Goode (I)	87%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Goodlatte (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Bliley (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Moran, James (D)	7%	+			-	-			-			-	-
9 Boucher (D)	20%	+	_	-	_	_	+	_	_	_	-	_	+
10 Wolf (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	_	_	+	+	+
11 Davis, T. (R)	33%	2	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	_		-	-
Washington	5570	·			1	1		1					
1 Inslee (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
2 Metcalf (R)	67%	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	_
3 Baird (D)	13%	_	-	+	-	-	+	_	'_			-	_
4 Hastings, D. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Nethercutt (R)	93%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Dicks (D)	20%	_	т	+	т	т	+	т	т	т	т	Т	+
7 McDermott (D)	20%	?	- ?	?	- ?	?	- -	-	-	-	_	-	- -
8 Dunn (R)	87%	+	+	+	: +	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
9 Smith, Adam (D)	0%	- -	т	Ŧ	- T	- -	- -	- -	т.	Τ	-	T	?
West Virginia	070	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
1 Mollohan (D)	20%	+		+		?	+		-				?
2 Wise (D)	20%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	?	?	?	-	+
3 Rahall (D)	13%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	4	4	-	+
Wisconsin	13%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
1 Ryan, P. (R)	60%				+	+	?	+	+	+		+	
2 Baldwin (D)	0%	+ ?	?	-?	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-
3 Kind, R. (D)	0% 7%	<i>:</i>	4	1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	7 % 0%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Kleczka (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Barrett, T. (D)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Petri (R) 7 Ober (D)	67% 20%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
7 Obey (D) 8 Cmar $M_{(\mathbf{P})}$		-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
8 Green, M. (R)	73%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
9 Sensenbrenner (R)	87%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Wyoming	1000/												
Al Cubin (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

The 2000 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

A & V Enterprises **AES** Cattlewomen Adirondack Solidarity Alliance Alabama Cattlemen's Association Alabama Coal Association Alamo Ranch Co. Alaska Forest Association Alaska Miners Association Allen Brothers Forest Management Inc. Alliance For America Alsea Valley Alliance American Ågri-Women American Borate Co. American Environmental Foundation American Land Rights Association American Loggers Solidarity American Policy Center American Wilderness Resources Arkansas Scenic Rivers Landowner Association Aspire Inc. **Associated Industries of Vermont Associated Oregon Loggers** A W Dilley & Co. Barnum Timber Co. **Bates Construction Beistline Inc. Bighorn Sheep Bill Behan Foundation Black Hills Regional Multiple Use Coalition Blue Ribbon Coalition Bonnell Logging Co.** Bow Tie Inc. **Brace Farms** Brizard Co. **Brookville Wood Products Inc. Broughton Land Co.** Brubaker-Mann Inc. Buchanan Hardwoods, Inc. **Burgess Logging** Cable Hardwoods, Inc. **California Association of Four Wheel Drive Clubs** California Cattlemen's Association California Desert Coalition California Mining Association California Off-Road Vehicle Association (CORVA) California Outdoor Recreation League California Women in Timber, Hayfork California Women in Timber, Quincy California Women in Timber, Shasta California Women in Timber, Siskiyou Cambior USA Inc. **CA-NV** Snowmobile Canoe Trip Outfitting Co.

Carroll County Property Owners Association (VA) Central Texas Taxpayers Association Chuckwalla Mountain Desert Rats (CA) **Citizens Against Wilderness Citizens Council of the Sleeping Bear Dunes Citizens Equal Rights Alliance Citizens for Constitutional Property Rights** Citizens for Private Property Rights **Citizens for Property Rights** Citizens Information Network **Citizens Resource Group** Cliff Wold's Canoe Trip Outfitting Company Coalition for Property Rights **Coalition to Protect Coastal Properties Colorado Cattlemens Association Colorado Inholders Association Colorado Off Highway Vehicle Coalition** Communities for a Great Northwest **Concerned Alaskans for Resources & the Environment** (C.A.R.E.)**Concerned Citizens of Eureka County Connell Ranch Conservationists with Common Sense Conservative Caucus Foundation Country Properties** Cox Ranch **Crooked Lake Northshore Association Curry County Oregon Project** Davis Mountains Trans Pecos Heritage Association **Defenders of Property Rights Delta** Construction **Double R Properties Douglas County Advisory Council Douglas County Grassroots Association** East Mojave Property Owners Association East Perry Lumber Co. Eastern **Öregon** Miners Association Edmunson **Řanch** Ellis Sheep Co. **Empire State Forest Products Association** Emray Corp/Cima Cinder Quarry **Environmental Conservation Organization Evergreen Resource Management** Fairness to Land Owners Committee **Family Water Alliance** Federal Land Bank Association of Mason, TX Ferry County Action League Fire Island National Seashore Advisory Board Florida Cattlemens Association Florida Farm Bureau Florida Land Council Forest Landowners Association Inc. Formation Capital

League of Private Property Voters

The 2000 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

Frank A. Conkling Co. Mining Construction **Frontiers Wyoming** Minnesota Landowners Rights Association Fur Commission USA Mississippi Loggers Association G G Armstrong & Son Ltd. Mississippi River Inholders Association Mobile County Landowners (AL) Glen Craft Villas Montana Cattlemens Association Gorge Resource Coalition (OR) **Grassroots for Multiple Use** Montana Resource Providers Coalition Montana Trail Vehicle Riders Grassroots Information Network Montana Woolgrowers Gulf Lumber Co. Montanans for Multiple Use Gustin Corp. Montanans for Private Property Hames Corp. Hammond **Ranches** Mount Sopris Hereford Ranch Haner Log Co. Inc. Muleshoe Ranch Co. Harbor Enterprises Inc. Multiple Use Association Multiple Use Land Alliance Herron Lumber Inc. National Association of Mining Districts Hexaco High Desert Multiple Use Coalition National Assoc of Reversionary Property Owners Hill Country Heritage Association National Hardwood Lumber Association Hill Country Heritage Society National Inholders Association Howard Tree Farms Ltd. National Outdoor Coalition Hunt Forest Products Inc. National Property Rights Commission National Republican Senatorial Committee Hunting & Angling Club Idaho Farm Bureau Federation National Trappers Association Imperial County Wise Use (CA) Nevada Cattlemen's Association Independent Forest Products Association Nevada Farm Bureau New Mexico Cattle Growers Association Independent Montana Miners Inc. New Mexico Public Lands Council Indian Springs Ranch Indiana Hardwood Lumbermens Association New Mexico Woolgrowers Action Committee New Mexico Woolgrowers Association Intermountain Ranches New York Blue Line Council J & J Forest Products Inc. Jackson Hole Hereford Ranch North Olympic Timber Action Committee Jefferson County Property Rights Alliance (WA) North Shore Association Jim C. Hamer Čo. Northern Resources Center Joe M. Cullinan & Associates Northwest Council of Governments Northwest Forestry Association John F King & Sons Inc. Jordan Brothers Ranch Northwest Mining Association Oklahoma Farm Bureau Kil Ranch **Klamath Alliance for Resources and Environment Olympic Forest Products Oregon Farm Bureau** (KARE) Landowners Association of North Dakota **Oregon Independent Miners Oregon Lands Coalition** L-Bar Ranch **Oregonians for Food & Shelter** Lazy Y S Ranch Oregonians in Action Louisiana Forestry Association Pacific Northwest Four Wheel Drive Club Lumbermen's Association of Texas Lynch Bros. Parsons Ranch Co. MacMullin Forestry & Logging Pennsylvania Landowners Association Maine Conservation Rights Institute (MECRI) **People for the Constitution** Maine Property Rights Alliance **People for the USA** Maughan Ranch **People for the USA, Denver Chapter McCuen** Properties People for the USA, Lucerne Chapter McCulloch County Property Owners Association **Peters Ranch** Midwest Trail Riders Association **Pima County Rural Property Owners Minerals Evaluation Network** Pine River Lumber Co. Ltd.

The 2000 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

Placer Dome US Inc. **Prescott Livestock Auction** Private Landowners of Wisconsin (PLOW) **Property Owners Association of Riverside County Property Owners Standing Together (POST) Property Rights Alliance Property Rights Foundation of America** Public Affairs Inc. **Public Land Users Association** Pulp & Paperworkers Resource Council (PPRC) Quail's Nest Industries Radar Inc. **Real Estate Nightmares Resource Development Council River Ranch Inc. Riverside & Landowners Protection Coalition Riverside Farm Bureau Roney Land & Cattle Co.** Rosboro Lumber Co. **Roswell Wool Operating** Rovig Minerals Inc. **RSG** Forest Products Inc. Saddleback Mountain Inc. San Diego Off-Road Coalition San Joaquin County Citizens Land Alliance Save Our Industries and Lands (SOIL) Schlegel Ranch Co. Schmitz Ranch **Sea-Fourth Properties** Sierra Aggregate Co. Silverthorn LLC Singing Valley Ranch Smith & Edwards South Dakota Women in Timber-Black Hills Chapter Southeastern Lumber Manufacturers Association Southwest Oregon Miners Association Stardust Lodge Steen Mountain Ranch Stone Container Corp. Stone Forest Container Corp. Stop Taking Our Property (STOP) T Hanging Heart Take Back Arkansas Take Care/Sierra Forest Products Taxpayers Network Inc. (WI) TEÂM Tee Bar Ranch Co. **Texas Agri-Women** Texas Wildlife Association Thirty One Bar Ranch Timber Producers Association of MI & WI Timber Products of Michigan Tomahawk Ranch

Trans Texas Heritage Association Transvest Inc. **TREES** - Coastal Chapter Trinity River Lumber Co. True Drilling Co. U-C Coatings Corp. United Property Owners of Washington Utah Mining Association US Taxpayers Alliance **USG Forest Products** V-Cross Cattle Co. Vermont Forest Products Association Virginians for Property Rights W R B Realty Walter H. Weaber Sons Inc. Walnut Council Washington Cattlemen's Association Washington Commercial Forest Action Committee Washington County Alliance (Maine) Washington Contract Loggers Association Washington Farm Forestry Association Washington Lands Coalition Washington Property Owners Coalition Washington Prospectors Mining Association Washington Snowmobile Association Wawona Property Management Inc. Western Building Material Association Western Mining Council Western Resource Associates Inc. Western States Ground Water Alliance Wild Rivers Conservancy Federation William Tripp Ranch Willowa County Stockgrowers Wind River Multiple-Use Coalition Wisconsin Women for Agriculture Wood Products Manufacturers Association Woods Industry Seeks Equality Workers of Oregon Development (WOOD) Wyo-Ben Inc. Wyoming Farm Bureau Federation Wyoming Heritage Society Wyoming Livestock Roundup Wyoming Resource Providers Coalition Wyoming Wool Growers Yellow Ribbon Coalition ZZ-30 Big Canyon Ranch Individuals: Jay & Maxine Cox William F. Jud, Property Rights Activist (MO) E. B. King **Bud Manzler Oliver & Edith Romey** Jim Russell

PRIVATE PROPERTY CONGRESSIONAL VOTE INDEX SPONSORSHIP ACCEPTANCE FORM

	YES, I wish to become an of	-		roperty (Congressi	onal Vot	e Index. H	lere is my \$75 to
	receive variou	s alerts and pu	iblications to	keep me info	ormed about	governmei	nt land acquisi	I understand I will ition, United r private property
	Please include Index.	emembershij	pinthe Leag	ue of Priv	vate Prope	erty Vote	ers in my spo	nsorship of the Vote
	I cannot be a c contribution to	-			owever, I re	ally like th	e Vote Index.	Here's a
	\$500	\$200	\$100	\$75	\$50	_ \$30	_ Other \$_	
NAME			C	RGANIZA	TION (if an	y)		
ADDRI	ESS							
CITY_					STATE	ZZI	IP	
TELEP	HONE			F	AX			
E-MAII	L			WEBSI7	ГЕ			

Please contact the organization below about being a co-sponsor of the Private Property Vote Index.

Organization	
Contact Name	Phone Number

Please mail with your check payable to:



LEAGUE OF PRIVATE PROPERTY VOTERS PO Box 423 Battle Ground, WA 98604 (360) 687-2471 Fax (360) 687-2973 email: lppv@pacifier.com